26 January 1979

MEMORANDUM FOR:

The Executive Secretary

DCI Security Committee

FROM:

Staff, DCI Security Committee

SUBJECT:

Repository for SCI Denials

NSA review completed

STAT

STAT

- 1. It appears from Dave Ryan's memorandum and comments that the FBI will back out of being the holder of SCI Denial information (due to Justice Department legal opinion). It also appears that they will recommend that CIB be the Repository for SCI Denials. If such is done, it is recommended that the whole idea be dropped.
- 2. The idea to initiate such a listing may have been well intentioned, but for practical purposes does not seem to have been well thought out. Some of the reasons for dropping this idea are:
  - a. No agency would accept the mere fact that the name appears on the list as basis for a second denial of SCI. This would be against the "whole person" concept of adjudication and would be no adjudication at all; even worse with the passage of time, e.g. 1 year later, 3 years or 5 years.

I don't think NSA	b. downs on d	The list	of SCI D	enials out not	would of	contain	turn-
las any serv	(these are	e HOL 171	4 Casesi	_wnite_	ON The	orner	nana
·							

c. There would be the task of checking all candidates for SCI access with the "Denials Repository", otherwise, why have it. CIA had more than industrial SCI access cases last year.

PL 86-36 **25X9** 

Approved For Release 2008/02/08 : CIA-RDP96M01138R000600030058-8

•		Approved For Release 2008/02/08 : CIA-RDP96M01138R000600030058-8	ø
C.			Orchart.
			some Stight
		( . )	whome of traph.
		Very n	and como.
		Projecting this to other IC member Agencies and we come up with very large numbers of checks of the	
	0	"Denials Repository" which also requires staffing.	
9. Har	the world has		STAT
	- 47		
m the	BIA have	d. Special procedures would have to be estab- lished to handle as well	Right and
become	gas !!	lished to handle as well as Privacy Act requests. The benefit, if there was	CIA Roser of give
		1 ished to handle as well as Privacy Act requests. The benefit, if there was one, would not be worth the effort of don't know if you will be with the proposal for a "Denials Repository" is	the any thought
		3. When the proposal for a "Denials Repository" is	factual.
	sh	ipped back, the best thing to do would be to put it on e shelf until someone could demonstrate a need and use	l
		r it.	
			STAT
	Dagree	with your points but	
ΓΑΤ	e muld of	be that new system will force a bigger	,
		unth your points but  be that new system incl force a bigger  of NFI Turn Downs for reasons other than suitable  of the executive a secrecy agree	bility
	ussue, e.	t having a BI or refusing to executive a secrecy agree	ment)
	A 0 3	+ having a si or refusing	
	Can we	take what we have learned here and crank	dome
	. I A F	un down record requirement in Manual.	STAT
	7		*
TAT	-	JNCODED as points out - we are falking	<b>Y</b>
	(	the of an	Sc (25X9
	only abou	As pourse, ent staff employees in this idea of an	PL 86-36
	Turn down	n. Further-	
	Al u	we are going to have appeals procedures shouldnot we have	some
	so	we are going to have appeals procedures shouldnot we have it of record of precedence and some log of who won + li	not appeals."
		- 1 P - 1 auriles for acqualcation con	ue we
	as at a	e are going to have slandard friend these as reference in stablish some sort of record using these as reference in	- a
	list of	turn downs?	
	-	over	
		Approved For Release 2008/02/08 : CIA-RDP96M01138R000600030058-8	

I think your best argument against the concept is
the one on resource drain. Is there some way we could
cost this out using CIA's figures and getting some
figures from OSI or DIS for number of 1/14 cases they
did and the number of them that were turned down by
other than CIA elements in the SCI program?

Approved For Release 2008/02/08 : CIA-RDP96M01138R000600030058-8

1-1	SENDER WILL CHI UNCLASSIFIED	ECK CLASS CATIO	ENTIAL	SEC				
OFFICIAL ROUTING SLIP								
то	NAME AN	D ADDRESS	DATE	INITIALS				
1				RT.				
2								
3								
4								
5								
6								
	ACTION	DIRECT REPLY	PREPARI	REPLY				
	APPROVAL	DISPATCH	RECOMM	IENDATION				
	COMMENT	FILE	RETURN					
	CONCURRENCE	INFORMATION	SIGNATU	JRE				
Remarks:  Dick - See my scratched notes.  fels discuss before you do any more work on this.								
	FOLD I	HERE TO RETURN T	'O SENDER					
		HERE TO RETURN T		DATE				
				DATE				

STAT

STAT

FORM NO. 227 Use previous editions (40)
Approved For Release 2008/02/08 : CIA-RDP96M01138R000600030058-8



